

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DELPHINE ALLEN, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,  
Defendants.

MASTER CASE FILE  
NO. C00-4599 TEH

ORDER RE: APRIL 18, 2011  
LETTER FROM PUEBLO

11 The Court is in receipt of a letter dated April 18, 2011, from People United for a  
12 Better Life in Oakland (“PUEBLO”). In that letter, which is attached to this order as  
13 Exhibit 1, PUEBLO states that “it feels compelled to consider a late-stage motion to  
14 intervene at this point.” Ex. 1 at 1. If PUEBLO wishes to intervene in this case, it must file  
15 an appropriate motion in accord with this Court’s Civil Local Rules and discuss why it meets  
16 the legal requirements for intervention. This Court does not entertain motions filed by letter.

17 Before filing any such motion, PUEBLO should consider meeting with counsel for the  
18 City or City officials directly to express its concerns. PUEBLO might also meet with  
19 counsel for Plaintiffs, who may dispute PUEBLO's contention that “[t]he community is not  
20 represented by any of the parties to this law suit.” Ex. 1 at 2.

21 The Clerk shall mail a hard copy of this order to PUEBLO at the address indicated in  
22 the attached letter.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 || Dated: 04/21/11

Walter Henderson

THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT